



# Aotearoa New Zealand Sustainable Finance Taxonomy (NZ Taxonomy)

Construction and Buildings Technical Advisory Group (TAG) members recruitment

# \*\*\*\*\*\* Why develop a sustainable \*\*\*\* finance taxonomy?





Globally, sustainable finance taxonomies are implemented or under development in 50+ jurisdictions.

Aotearoa New Zealand is in a global competition for capital and is an optional market.

As the global financial sector adopts sustainable finance taxonomies to identify, assess and measure the environmental credibility of investments, taxonomies will be a prerequisite.

By developing an NZ-specific taxonomy, we ensure classifications and criteria applied to New Zealand businesses are relevant and appropriate in our domestic context, while remaining interoperable.

### Glsbal Landscape of Sustainable Finance Taxonomies

Last update May, 2025





### **Benefits to NZ**

#### A well-designed NZ Taxonomy should:

- Increase NZ's pool of capital for green and transition opportunities.
- Lower friction and costs in financing green and transitional activities.
- Enhance transparency, credibility and investor confidence.
- Mitigate risks of greenwashing.
- Align with international standards and best practices (trans-Tasman alignment) while recognising NZ-specific activities.



### NZ Taxonomy use cases

#### For businesses:

- Support to shift towards lower-emission and climate-resilient operations.
- Provide greater/easier access to finance for environmental initiatives.
- Recognition for sustainability efforts.
- Clear, consistent guidelines on what qualifies as sustainable practices.

#### For financial institutions:

- A clear and common standard for assessing sustainable investments in New Zealand.
- Reduced costs and greater efficiency in evaluating green and transition finance opportunities.
- Alignment with international sustainable finance standards, helping attract global capital inflows.
- A safeguard against greenwashing.

Developing robust climate change mitigation and adaptation & resilience (A&R) criteria within the NZ Taxonomy is essential to ensure the Construction and Buildings sector plays a full role in achieving national climate and energy objectives.

#### On the climate side:

 $\times$   $\times$   $\times$   $\times$   $\times$ 

 $\times$   $\times$   $\times$   $\times$   $\times$ 

 $\times$   $\times$   $\times$   $\times$   $\times$ 

 $\times$   $\times$   $\times$   $\times$   $\times$ 

- Construction and Buildings account for a significant portion of New Zealand's emissions around 15% of the
  country's total carbon footprint. Half of this comes from embodied carbon the emissions tied to the materials
  and products used to construct them. To meet New Zealand's commitments under the Paris Agreement, these
  embodied emissions must be substantially reduced.
- Buildings are also increasingly vulnerable to climate impacts such as flooding, sea-level rise, and extreme weather.

On the energy side: Construction and Buildings is one of New Zealand's largest energy users, driving substantial demand for electricity and gas.

- Commercial and residential buildings already consume about 15% of New Zealand's gas supply, and without
  intervention this could triple within a decade competing directly with industrial users and threatening jobs,
  exports, and community resilience. Peak electricity demand, driven largely by space and water heating in
  buildings, is also rising.
- Modern technologies and building practices can dramatically reduce peak demand, free up energy for industry, and strengthen the nation's resilience to supply shocks.
- As the NZ Taxonomy advances work on Energy sector criteria, it is timely to extend this to Construction and Buildings, ensuring that New Zealand defines not only how energy is produced sustainably, but also how it is used efficiently and responsibly across one of the highest-demand sectors.

The opportunity is clear: If we design and retrofit smarter, we can cut emissions, increase climate resilience, ease energy pressures, and lower living costs – all at once.

 $\times$   $\times$   $\times$   $\times$   $\times$  $\times$   $\times$   $\times$   $\times$   $\times$  $\times$   $\times$   $\times$   $\times$   $\times$ 

The New Zealand Green Building Council (NZGBC) Sustainable Finance Guide is already in use voluntarily by the market. However, developing NZ Taxonomy criteria for Construction and Buildings was <u>recommended by the ITAG</u> and considered both valuable and necessary for several key reasons:

- International credibility and investor confidence: The NZ Taxonomy is designed to be interoperable with taxonomies in other jurisdictions (EU, ASEAN, Australia, etc.), ensuring that New Zealand-specific activities/practices are recognised as credible and comparable on the global stage. This is critical for attracting international capital and for avoiding greenwashing or inconsistencies between New Zealand frameworks and overseas benchmarks.
- Integration and interoperability across sectors: The Taxonomy ensures that Construction and Buildings sector criteria are consistent with related sectors e.g., Energy, Industrial Manufacturing, Transport enabling a coherent, cross-sector approach to climate change mitigation and resilience.
- Activity-level focus: The Taxonomy evaluates individual activities within a building, rather than the building as a whole. Even if a building is not fully "green", activities that significantly reduce emissions or enhance climate resilience can still achieve taxonomy alignment, providing more opportunities for sector participants.
- Complementing, not duplicating NZGBC tools: Where relevant, the NZ Taxonomy will reference NZGBC certifications (e.g., Green Star, Homestar, NABERSNZ) and guidance as evidence of alignment. The intent is to leverage existing standards and provide further support, detail, and options for the sector not to create additional compliance burdens.

### 



#### Click for the full recommendations report







### This work began in 2024...

Following initial scoping and stakeholder engagement led by CSF and the Ministry for the Environment (MfE), the Minister of Climate Change invited CSF to provide recommendations on key design considerations for the NZ Taxonomy.

In July 2024, CSF presented 18 recommendations – developed by an Independent Technical Advisory Group (ITAG) – to the Minister in a formal report.

Building on these recommendations, the Minister directed work to begin on the NZ Taxonomy's climate change mitigation and adaptation & resilience (A&R) criteria, starting with the Agriculture and Forestry sectors in November 2024, followed by Energy in October 2025, and Construction and Buildings in Q1 2026.

Read the ITAG recommendations report here – <u>full report</u> | <u>executive summary</u>.



# Ministerial directions

Based on the ITAG recommendations, the Minister of Climate Change has made several key decisions about the NZ Taxonomy.

These will guide the Construction and Building Sector TAG process in developing relevant criteria.

#### Minister agreed with the:

- Purpose and five guiding principles.
- Six environmental objectives.
- Embedding iwi/Māori rights and knowledge into the Taxonomy's design.
- Use of ANZSIC codes for classification.
- Inclusion of a separate transition category, to encourage substantial movements towards a 1.5-degree pathway for a defined and limited list of sectors/activities that are material and relevant to New Zealand, for activities within a pre-determined period of time and that have no low-carbon alternative.
- Adoption of an eligibility approach based on technical screening criteria that are binary, credible, internationally recognised, and complemented by whitelists where data is lacking.
- The NZ Taxonomy should be initially voluntary, with scope to become mandatory noting that where taxonomies are part of mandatory frameworks, the mandatory aspect applies to financial institutions' reporting on alignment.

#### **Prioritised:**

- The environmental objectives of climate change mitigation, and climate change adaptation and resilience, to have criteria developed first.
- The Agricultural and Forestry sectors as the first sectors for NZ Taxonomy development in Q4 2024 –
  followed by Energy in Q4 2025, and Construction and Buildings in Q1 2026.

#### Directed (via the 2024 2+2 climate and finance dialogue):

Strong trans-Tasman alignment between the NZ and Australian taxonomies.




## **Groups developing the NZ Taxonomy**

- CSF has convened, through an open expression of interest (EoI) process, a
  Technical Expert Group (TEG) to co-design the NZ Taxonomy criteria with sectorspecific Technical Advisory Groups (TAGs). The TEG is a high-level decision-making
  body that oversees the Taxonomy's development to make sure it is usable and
  interoperable. Since November 2024, the group has been working on the
  methodological design and on criteria for the Agriculture and Forestry sectors.
- CSF has engaged the Climate Bonds Initiative (CBI) as the technical partners for the development of the NZ Taxonomy. CBI has led the development of sustainable finance taxonomies globally, including in the EU, ASEAN, Brazil and Australia.
- CSF is now seeking to convene, through this EoI, a sector-specific TAG to provide technical inputs on the development of the Construction and Buildings sector criteria.



### **Governance**





### TEG members – 2025 term

Note: 2026 term TEG members will be announced by EOY 2025

#### **TEG co-Chairs**

- 1. Andy Reisinger, Independent Climate Change Expert
- 2. Pip Best, Partner Climate Change & Sustainability Services, EY Oceania

#### **TEG** members

- 3. Adam Coxhead, Head of Sustainable Finance, Bank of New Zealand
- 4. Amelia Sharman, Director Sustainability Reporting, External Reporting Board (XRB) (from July 2025)
- 5. Caroline Poujol, Director Sustainable Finance (NZ), ANZ
- 5. David Hall, Policy Director, Toha Network
- 7. David Woods, Independent
- 8. Feng Hu, International Specialist, United Nations Environment Programme Finance Initiative (UNEP FI); Founder and Director, silkroad.earth
- 9. Fonteyn Moses-Te Kani, Pou Tiaki Director Māori Strategy & Indigenous Inclusion, Westpac New Zealand
- 10. Greg Munford, Senior Investment Strategist Sustainable Investment, New Zealand Superannuation Fund
- 11. James Paterson, Head of Sustainable Finance, ASB
- 12. Jeremie Madamour, Principal Advisor Climate Change & Sustainability Reporting, External Reporting Board (XRB) (until July 2025)
- 13. Joanna Silver, Head of Sustainable Finance, Westpac New Zealand
- 14. Jono Broome, Associate Director Client Advisory APAC, Morningstar Sustainalytics
- 15. Jorge Waayman, Manager ESG Research, Harbour Asset Management
- 16. Julia Langley, Managing Director Switzerland & New Zealand, Green Wave Advisory
- 17. June McCabe, Independent Director; Pou Tahua Representative, National Iwi Chairs Forum (NICF)
- 18. Sean Fullan, Resilience and Recovery Manager, Insurance Council of New Zealand (ICNZ)
- Stefan Gray, Manager Strategic Climate Initiatives, Reserve Bank of New Zealand (RBNZ)

## NZ Taxonomy Construction and Buildings sector criteria development timeline





# **Stakeholder engagement**

Stakeholder groups	Purpose	Techniques
Government agencies	Oversight and advice	Regular meetings and email updates
		Periodic briefings
		Quality assurance reviews
Technical Expert Group	Decision-making	Regular meetings
Sector-specific Technical Advisory Group	Advisory	Off-session discussions
		Papers-only sessions
Financial sector participants		
lwi and sector-relevant Māori	Information and input	Via TEG/TAG
organisations		Discussion session(s)
Environmental NGOs		Bilateral meeting(s)
Real economy participants for specific sectors underdevelopment		Public consultation(s)
		Targeted comms
Interested financial market participants and public	Information and feedback	Public consultation(s)
		Informational webinar(s)
		General comms

# \*\*\*\*\* Construction and Buildings \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* TAG recruitment



### Role and remit of the Construction and Buildings TAG

#### Purpose

• To design the content of the NZ Taxonomy

#### Skills required

- Expert climate change mitigation and/or adaptation and resilience knowledge (required)
- Construction and Buildings sector knowledge (required)
- Financial services users (optional)
- Science, policy and regulation (optional)
- Human rights and supply chain risk (optional)
- Indigenous rights and interests (optional)

#### Role

- Provide technical input into taxonomy definitions for TEG endorsement
- Feed back on TEG technical methodologies for TEG consideration and endorsement

#### Time commitment

- Attend up to nine 2-hour meetings (minimum six), with at least 1 hour of preparation per meeting
- Participate in papers-only sessions and attend additional ad-hoc meetings as needed
- Prepare draft technical documents for TEG endorsement
- Participate in targeted stakeholder engagement on draft technical documents, facilitated by CSF
- >> Estimated total time commitment: 48 hours (6 business days)



### Selection process and criteria


The selection of Construction and Buildings TAG members will be conducted through an EoI process. This approach is designed to ensure a fair, transparent, inclusive, and robust selection, with the goal of forming a technically strong and diverse group that reflects both the finance and real economy sectors.

Final appointments will be made by CSF in consultation with MfE and the TEG. In assessing candidates, the following considerations will apply:

- **Skills mix and experience:** Alignment with the skills matrix outlined in the Terms of Reference, including individual competencies and the organisational perspective the candidate brings.
- Understanding of sustainable finance: Familiarity with both global and domestic sustainable finance landscape.
- **Inclusion of indigenous knowledge/perspectives:** Representation of tangata whenua perspectives, including mātauranga Māori and iwi/Māori expertise in climate change mitigation, adaptation and resilience.
- **Commitment and availability:** Ability to commit the time required to discharge the duties of the Construction and Buildings TAG, as well as willingness and capacity to support the Construction and Buildings TAG's role in developing robust and credible climate change mitigation, adaptation and resilience criteria for public consultation.
- **Collaborative track record:** Evidence of working constructively with others to achieve shared outcomes, particularly in multi-stakeholder and/or technical environments.
- Candidates' other positions or commitments (including potential conflicts of interest).
- The overall diversity of the Construction and Buildings TAG.

Applicants submit EoI (17 Nov 2025–30 Jan 2026)









### **Eol timelines and milestones**

#### **Public call for EoIs**

Monday 17 November 2025 – Friday 30 January 2026 (11 weeks)

#### Membership confirmation deadline for successful applicants

Friday 13 February 2026

#### First Construction and Buildings TAG meeting

Thursday 19 February 2026



### How to apply

Please read the <u>Terms of Reference</u> before you apply.

#### Please submit:

- Expression of interest of no more than 350 words (please outline your relevant expertise and suitability);
- Your CV; and
- A letter of endorsement from your organisation (note, TAG members will represent their personal, not organisational views in meetings; however, must have been endorsed by their organisations to undertake this work).



Applications close at 5pm NZT, Friday 30 January 2026.

# Thank you

If you have questions about the EoI process, please contact taxonomy@sustainablefinance.nz

The Centre for Sustainable Finance: Toitū Tahua (CSF) was established in 2021 to advance progress toward the 2030 vision and Roadmap of the Sustainable Finance Forum

The forum was an initiative of Sir Rob Fenwick's The Aotearoa Circle